Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,782	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter-Anthony Pappas	2671
All Participants:	Status of Application: Pe	ending.
(1) Peter-Anthony Pappas.	(3)	
(2) <u>Joerg-Uwe Szipl</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 5-8  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in resolution of all issues. A brief sun</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a writerate record of the substance of the	tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	licant/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/615,782

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's Amendment to place the instant application into conditions for allowance was proposed to the Applicant. Applicant preferred to submit the suggested changes via a preliminary amendment instead. After receiving said preliminary amendment a second Examiner's Amendment was proposed to clarity some language. Said amendment was agreed upon.